UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,712	09/07/2004	Lee Underwood	8830-294	4678
	7590 03/27/200 DDLE & REATH	EXAMINER		
	LECTUAL PROPERT	DRODGE, JOSEPH W		
ONE LOGAN SQUARE 18TH AND CHERRY STREETS PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-6996			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	
		•		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/506,712	UNDERWOOD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph W. Drodge	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 30-56 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>30-56</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	, , ,	·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0904,1004, 1656</u> : 12165	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1723

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 40-49 and 51-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Den Dekker patent 5,674,381. Disclosed for claims 30,31 and 47-49 are host apparatus (equipment or fluid circuit 1), water treatment component in filter 2, and electronic circuit (electronic label 5). The following are disclosed for dependent claims: two-way communication via magnetic, optical means (clm 31,32), physical mounting/coioining/physical contact (column 2, line 10 for clms 33,51,52), memory (column 2, line 28 for clm 35), read capability (column 2, line 27 for clm 36), central controller/processor with signal transmission and data tag/data base (control unit 7, and see column 2, line 33 for "chip card" or column 2, lines 35-36 and column 3, lines 1-3 for data handling for signaling for clms 37-42 and 53), identifying of incorrect fitment (column 1, line 52 for clm 43), identifying or incorrect operation (column 1, line 53 for clm 44), accessing and display of information (column 1, lines 47-49 and column 2, line 51/control panel 8 for clm 45), communicating of product information at column 2, lines 58-60 for claim 46, data encryption (see column 2, lines 30-32 for "smart card" for claim 54. For claim 55, the filter is a consumable item since column 3, line 5 teaches its disposal and for claim 56 the fitler is replaceable at column 1, lines 16-18 so constitutes a "cartridge".

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1723

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Den Dekker patent 5,674,381 in view of Moscaritolo patent 6,471,853. Claim 50 differs in requiring the component being adapted to sanitizing and/or cleaning of the host apparatus. However, Moscaritolo teaches data transmission from a miniaturized component on a filter having ID features and sensors that initiates filter cleaning (column 1, lines 12-18, column 3, lines 39-52 and column 6, lines 46-49). It would have

Application/Control Number: 10/506,712

the installed filter and delay need for filter replacement.

Art Unit: 1723

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have adapted the system of Den Dekker to sanitize/cleaning as taught by Moscaritolo, so as to extend the useful life of

Page 4

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wilberscheid et al patent 6,537,444 is of interest for another filter cartridge having an electronic label having data chip and sensor mounted on filter housing or end plate.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,712 Page 5

Art Unit: 1723

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Drodge at telephone number 571-272-1140. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Griffin, can reached at 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the examining group where this application is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or Public PAIR, and through Private PAIR only for unpublished applications. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JWD

March 26, 2007

PRIMARY EXAMINER